Original Article

A Review Of The Methods And Approaches For Integrating Genetics And Metabolomics Into Personalized Nutrition

Pary Hadi

Nursing, Tishk International University, Erbil City - Iraq
Email: [1]Pary.ameer@tiu.edu.iq
DOI: 10.47750/pnr.2023.14.02.447

Abstract

Personalized nutrition is emerging as a promising approach to optimize health outcomes by accounting for individual genetic and metabolic differences. This review examines the current methods and approaches for integrating genetics and metabolomics into personalized nutrition strategies. We discuss the importance of understanding an individual's genetic makeup, including single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), and their effects on nutrient metabolism and health outcomes. Additionally, we explore the role of metabolomics in elucidating individual metabolic profiles and identifying biomarkers for personalized dietary interventions. We also discuss the application of computational and machine learning techniques in data integration and analysis, as well as the ethical and regulatory considerations surrounding personalized nutrition. The ultimate goal is to provide a comprehensive overview of the current state of the field and identify future research opportunities to improve the implementation of personalized nutrition for disease prevention and overall health promotion.

Keywords: Personalized nutrition, Genetics, Metabolomics, Dietary interventions, Techniques

Introduction:

In recent years, personalized nutrition has emerged as a promising approach to optimize health outcomes and prevent chronic diseases by tailoring dietary recommendations to an individual's unique genetic and metabolic profile (Zeevi et al., 2015). This approach aims to provide tailored dietary recommendations based on an individual's genetic makeup, metabolic profile, and other factors, such as microbiome composition and lifestyle, to optimize health outcomes and prevent chronic diseases like obesity, type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, and certain cancers (Fenech et al., 2013).

The growing understanding of the complex interplay between genetics, metabolism, and diet has been largely facilitated by technological advancements in high-throughput omics technologies, such as genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics (Ordovas & Ferguson, 2018). These technologies have enabled researchers to investigate the molecular mechanisms underlying individual responses to dietary factors and identify potential gene-diet interactions. For instance, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified numerous genetic variants associated with nutrient metabolism and diet-related diseases, while metabolomics has provided insights into the biochemical pathways affected by dietary interventions (Garcia-Perez et al., 2017).

The integration of genetic and metabolic information has allowed researchers to develop a more comprehensive understanding of individual nutrient metabolism and provide targeted nutritional interventions (Scalbert et al., 2014). For example, by combining genetic information, such as single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) affecting nutrient metabolism, with metabolic data, such as individual profiles of metabolites and biomarkers, researchers can identify specific metabolic patterns that may predict individual responses to dietary interventions (Gibney & Walsh, 2013).

The present review aims to summarize and critically evaluate the various methods and approaches employed in the integration of genetic and metabolic information for the advancement of personalized nutrition. In doing so, we will discuss the strengths and limitations of these approaches, identify knowledge gaps, and explore potential future directions for this burgeoning field.

Introduction to Personalized Nutrition

Personalized nutrition, also known as precision nutrition, is an emerging field that aims to tailor dietary recommendations and interventions based on an individual's unique genetic, metabolic, and lifestyle characteristics. This approach has gained momentum in recent years due to advances in genomics, metabolomics, and other omics technologies, which have enabled researchers to better understand the complex interplay between diet, genes, and health outcomes (Krebs & Hoddy, 2020).

The concept of personalized nutrition stems from the observation that individuals respond differently to the same dietary interventions. These differences in response can be attributed to genetic variations, as well as environmental factors such as physical activity, sleep patterns, and gut microbiome composition (Gibney et al., 2017). By identifying the factors that influence an individual's nutrient metabolism and dietary response, personalized nutrition has the potential to optimize health, prevent disease, and improve the effectiveness of therapeutic interventions (Zeevi et al., 2015).

Several studies have demonstrated the potential benefits of personalized nutrition. For instance, the Food4Me project, a large European study, found that personalized nutrition advice based on individual characteristics led to greater improvements in dietary behavior compared to generic dietary guidelines (Celis-Morales et al., 2017). Additionally, a study by Zeevi et al. (2015) showed that personalized dietary recommendations based on individual microbiome and metabolic data could help manage postprandial glycemic response more effectively than traditional dietary advice.

Despite its promise, personalized nutrition is still a developing field, and further research is needed to validate and refine its methods and applications. Challenges include the integration of diverse data types, the need for robust bioinformatics tools, and ethical considerations related to genetic and metabolomic data collection and use (Krebs & Hoddy, 2020).

Unraveling the Complex Interplay of Genetics and Metabolomics

The interplay between genetics and metabolomics is a rapidly evolving field that seeks to understand how genetic factors influence metabolic processes and, in turn, how these processes impact human health and disease. This complex relationship is evident in the study of both hereditary and common diseases, as well as in the development of personalized medicine strategies (Shin et al., 2014).

One notable study that explored the relationship between genetics and metabolomics is the human metabolic individuality project. This large-scale study examined the metabolomic profiles of over 2,600 individuals and identified several genetic loci associated with variations in metabolite levels. The findings emphasized the importance of genetic factors in determining an individual's metabolic phenotype (Shin et al., 2014).

Moreover, in a study, conducted a genome-wide association study (GWAS) of 8,330 individuals, uncovering novel genetic loci associated with a range of metabolic traits. The authors identified 31 genetic loci associated with the variation in serum metabolite concentrations, demonstrating that genetics play a significant role in shaping human metabolism (Kettunen et al., 2012).

Furthermore, research has shown that genetic variations can contribute to the development of common diseases through their impact on metabolic pathways. For example, Nicholson et al. (2011) found that an individual's genetic makeup can influence their susceptibility to obesity, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases by affecting their metabolomic profile (Nicholson et al.,2011).

As the field of metabolomics continues to advance, researchers are beginning to understand the complex interplay between genetics and metabolomics in the context of personalized medicine. For instance, Karczewski and Snyder (2018) discussed the potential of integrating genomic and metabolomic data to develop tailored therapeutic strategies and improve patient outcomes (Karczewski and Snyder 2018).

Metabolomics: A Window into Individual Metabolic Profiles

Metabolomics is a rapidly evolving field that studies the chemical fingerprints left behind by cellular processes, providing a snapshot of an organism's metabolic state. This innovative approach can reveal unique insights into individual metabolic profiles, which can, in turn, be used for various applications, including personalized medicine, nutritional intervention, and disease diagnosis (Nicholson et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 2012).

Metabolomic studies require advanced analytical platforms to detect and quantify small molecules in complex biological samples, such as blood, urine, or tissue. The two most common techniques are nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy and mass spectrometry (MS) coupled with chromatography. NMR offers reproducibility and non-destructive analysis, while MS provides higher sensitivity and specificity (Emwas, 2015). Recently, advancements in these techniques have expanded the capabilities of metabolomic studies, enabling more accurate and comprehensive profiling (Wishart, 2019).

Metabolomics has been increasingly recognized for its potential in personalized medicine. By identifying individual metabolic profiles, it may be possible to tailor medical treatments based on each person's unique metabolic characteristics (Kaddurah-Daouk & Weinshilboum, 2014). For example, a study by Trupp et al. (2012) demonstrated the utility of metabolomics in predicting individual responses to antidepressants, potentially leading to more effective and personalized treatment plans.

Metabolomic profiling can also provide valuable information about an individual's nutritional status and dietary habits. This knowledge can be used to develop personalized dietary recommendations aimed at optimizing health and preventing disease (García-Pérez et al., 2017). For example, a study by Pallister et al. (2016) used metabolomic profiling to identify metabolic markers associated with dietary patterns, which could inform targeted nutritional interventions.

Metabolomics has shown promise in diagnosing and predicting disease outcomes. Several studies have reported the discovery of metabolic biomarkers for various diseases, such as cancer, cardiovascular disease, and neurological disorders (Johnson et al., 2016; Rhee et al., 2013). For example, a study by Shao et al. (2018) identified a panel of metabolites that could distinguish between healthy individuals and those with colorectal cancer, potentially offering a non-invasive diagnostic tool.

The Conjunction of Genetics and Metabolomics

The relationship between genetics and metabolomics is a multifaceted one, as genetics determines the blueprint for an individual's metabolic processes, while metabolomics investigates the small molecules (metabolites) involved in those processes. By exploring this complex relationship, researchers can gain valuable insights into how genetic factors influence metabolic phenotypes and contribute to human health and disease (Guo et al., 2021).

A recent study examined the relationship between genetics and metabolomics by conducting a large-scale metabolome-wide association study (MWAS) involving 7,824 participants. The authors identified 245 metabolite quantitative trait loci (mQTLs) significantly associated with 408 metabolite traits, providing a better understanding of the genetic determinants of the human blood metabolome (Guo et al., 2021).

In another study, investigated the interplay between genetics and metabolomics in the context of Alzheimer's disease. By integrating genetic data with plasma metabolomic profiles, the authors identified a set of metabolic pathways and potential biomarkers associated with Alzheimer's disease, highlighting the importance of this integrated approach in understanding the disease's pathophysiology (Long et al., 2020).

Additionally, the integration of genetics and metabolomics has shown promise in personalized medicine. demonstrated the potential of combining genomic and metabolomic data to predict individual drug response in patients with major depressive disorder. This comprehensive approach allows for more accurate prediction of individual drug response, minimizing adverse effects, and increasing treatment efficacy (Weng et al.,2021).

Advances in Omics Technologies: Accelerating Personalized Nutrition Research

Omics technologies, which include genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics, are rapidly advancing fields that provide comprehensive molecular information on the biological processes within an organism. These technologies are becoming increasingly important in personalized nutrition research, enabling the development of targeted dietary interventions that take into account an individual's unique genetic and metabolic characteristics (Gibney et al., 2017).

Next-generation sequencing (NGS) has revolutionized genomics, allowing for rapid and cost-effective sequencing of entire genomes. This has led to a better understanding of genetic variation and its impact on health and disease, as well as individual responses to nutrients (Ordovas & Ferguson, 2018). For example, studies have identified genetic variants associated with different responses to dietary fat intake, which could inform personalized dietary recommendations (Corella et al., 2011).

Transcriptomics focuses on the analysis of RNA transcripts, which provide a snapshot of gene expression. Recent advances in RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) have allowed researchers to study gene expression patterns in response to different dietary interventions, revealing insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying individual responses to specific nutrients (Carrera et al., 2016).

Proteomics examines the entire set of proteins expressed by an organism, offering insights into the functional outcomes of gene expression. Advances in mass spectrometry (MS) and bioinformatics have improved the sensitivity, specificity, and throughput of proteomic analyses (González-Domínguez et al., 2020).

As discussed in the previous section, metabolomics involves the study of small molecules (metabolites) in biological samples, providing a snapshot of an organism's metabolic state. Recent advancements in NMR and MS techniques have expanded the capabilities of metabolomic studies, enabling more accurate and comprehensive profiling (Wishart, 2019). Metabolomics can provide valuable information about an individual's nutritional status, which can be used to develop personalized dietary recommendations (García-Pérez et al., 2017).

Nutrigenetics focuses on understanding the role of genetic variation in individual responses to nutrients, while nutrigenomics examines how nutrients affect gene expression (Fenech et al., 2011). Advances in genomics and transcriptomics have facilitated the identification of gene-diet interactions, paving the way for personalized dietary recommendations based on an individual's genetic makeup (Ferguson et al., 2016).

Omics technologies have accelerated the discovery of biomarkers related to nutrient intake, metabolic response, and health outcomes. These biomarkers can be used to monitor an individual's nutritional status and develop targeted dietary interventions (Gibney et al., 2017). For example, metabolomic profiling has been used to identify biomarkers associated with dietary patterns, which could inform personalized nutrition strategies (Pallister et al., 2016).

Challenges and Limitations in Personalized Nutrition Research

One of the main challenges in personalized nutrition is the high degree of inter-individual variability in response to dietary interventions. This variability stems from a complex interplay of genetic, epigenetic, environmental, and lifestyle factors Therefore, accurately predicting individual responses to specific nutrients and dietary patterns requires a comprehensive understanding of these factors, which is not yet fully achievable with current methodologies (Gibney et al., 2017).

The integration of data from different omics technologies (genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics) is essential for developing personalized nutrition strategies. However, the sheer volume and complexity of the data generated by these approaches pose significant challenges in data integration, analysis, and interpretation (Bashiardes et al., 2017).

A lack of standardized methodologies in omics technologies and dietary assessment tools can hinder the reproducibility and comparability of results between studies. Standardizing experimental protocols, data processing, and analysis methods is essential to ensure the reliability and validity of personalized nutrition research. (Görman et al., 2016).

Personalized nutrition research relies on the collection and analysis of sensitive personal data, including genetic and metabolic information. This raises concerns about data privacy, confidentiality, and potential misuse of information. Establishing clear ethical guidelines and data protection policies is necessary to address these concerns and ensure the responsible use of personal data in research and clinical practice. (Stewart-Knox et al., 2009).

Conducting large-scale, longitudinal studies that integrate multi-omics data can help elucidate the complex interplay of factors that contribute to inter-individual variability in response to dietary interventions. Such studies will enable the development of more accurate predictive models for personalized nutrition (Gibney & Walsh, 2013).

Fostering collaboration between researchers and institutions can facilitate data sharing and promote the standardization of methodologies. Creating open-access databases and platforms for sharing omics data, dietary information, and other relevant data can accelerate progress in personalized nutrition research (Hood & Price, 2014).

Engaging the public in personalized nutrition research and promoting awareness about the potential benefits of tailored dietary interventions can help address ethical concerns and encourage participation in research studies. Public education about the importance of personalized nutrition can also help to ensure that this promising approach is adopted and utilized effectively in clinical practice and public health. (Stewart-Knox et al., 2009).

Translating Science into Practice: The Future of Personalized Nutrition and Health

Recent studies have demonstrated that specific dietary interventions can alter the gut microbiome composition, promoting beneficial microbial species and reducing of harmful ones. For example, a high-fiber diet has been shown to increase the abundance of beneficial bacteria, such as Bifidobacteria and Lactobacilli, which can improve gut health and overall well-being (Sonnenburg and Sonnenburg, 2014).

As the research progresses, personalized nutrition will likely incorporate gut microbiome data into dietary recommendations, helping individuals achieve optimal health by nurturing their unique microbial ecosystems.Wearable devices and continuous health monitoring have the potential to revolutionize personalized nutrition by providing real-time data on an individual's physiological responses to their diet. By tracking biomarkers like blood glucose levels, heart rate, and activity levels, these devices can offer insights into how an individual's body reacts to specific nutrients or food combinations (Piwek et al., 2016).

Dietary Recommendations Based on Genetic Variability

Nutrigenomics is the study of the interaction between genes and diet. This emerging field has demonstrated the potential to develop personalized dietary recommendations based on an individual's genetic makeup. By understanding how specific genes affect nutrient metabolism, it is possible to tailor dietary recommendations to optimize health outcomes. (Ordovas & Ferguson, 2018).

Lactose intolerance is a common example of genetic variability affecting dietary choices. The LCT gene influences an individual's ability to digest lactose, and genetic variations in this gene can lead to lactose intolerance. Therefore, understanding an individual's genetic profile can help inform appropriate dietary recommendations, such as the inclusion or exclusion of dairy products. (Hennigar et al., 2021).

The MTHFR gene is responsible for the conversion of dietary folate into its active form, 5-methyltetrahydrofolate. Variations in the MTHFR gene can impact folate metabolism, resulting in different dietary requirements for folate. Individuals with specific MTHFR gene variants may require higher intake of folate-rich foods or supplementation to support optimal health. (Rieder et al., 2021).

Several genes, such as FADS1 and FADS2, play a role in the metabolism of omega-3 fatty acids. Variations in these genes can affect an individual's response to dietary omega-3 fatty acids, potentially leading to different optimal intake levels. Understanding an individual's genetic makeup can help determine the appropriate amount of omega-3 fatty acids to include in their diet (Hellstrand et al., 2022).

Conclusion:

The integration of genetics and metabolomics into personalized nutrition has the potential to revolutionize healthcare and nutrition, paving the way for more targeted and effective dietary recommendations. Through the exploration of various methods and approaches, researchers have made significant strides in understanding the intricate relationships between genetic variation, metabolic profiles, and individual nutrient needs. Combining high-throughput omics technologies, machine learning algorithms, and innovative data analysis methods has facilitated a deeper comprehension of these complex interactions, allowing for the development of personalized nutrition plans that could significantly impact disease prevention and management.

However, there remain challenges to overcome, such as the need for larger, more diverse study populations and the translation of research findings into practical, accessible, and cost-effective applications for the wider public. As research in this field continues to advance and technologies mature, the promise of personalized nutrition, guided by the integration of genetics and metabolomics, could soon become a reality for the betterment of individual and public health.

References

1. Ameer Arsalan Hadi, P., 2021. Involvement of Nutritional Epidemiology in Public Health. Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International, 33.
2. Ameer Arsalan Hadi, P., 2021. Nutritional status and sedentary lifestyle of individuals a review. International Journal of Modern Agriculture, 10(2).
3. Ameer Arsalan Hadi, P., 2022. Awareness of Individuals Concerning Main Causes of Diabetes in Kurdistan Region. International Journal of Health Sciences.
4. Bashiardes, S., Godneva, A., Elinav, E., & Segal, E. (2017). Towards utilization of data for personalized nutrition: challenges and opportunities. Nutrition Reviews, 76(3), 200-207.
5. Carrera, S., Sanz-Paris, A., & Palou, A. (2016). Transcriptomics in nutritional intervention studies: a practical approach. Molecular Nutrition & Food Research, 60(1), 74-86.

6. Celis-Morales, C., Livingstone, K. M., Marsaux, C. F. M., Macready, A. L., Fallaize, R., O'Donovan, C. B., … Mathers, J. C. (2017). Effect of personalized nutrition on health-related behaviour change: evidence from the Food4me European randomized controlled trial. International Journal of Epidemiology, 46(2), 578–588. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyw186
7. Corella, D., Ortega-Azorín, C., Sorlí, J. V., Covas, M. I., Carrasco, P., Salas-Salvadó, J., ... & Sáez, G. T. (2011). Statistical and biological gene-lifestyle interactions of MC4R and FTO with diet and physical activity on obesity: new effects on alcohol consumption. PLoS One, 6(12), e29462.
8. Emwas, A. H. (2015). The strengths and weaknesses of NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry with particular focus on metabolomics research. Methods in Molecular Biology, 1277, 161-193.
9. Fenech, M., El-Sohemy, A., Cahill, L., Ferguson, L. R., French, T. A., Tai, E. S., ... & Ashton, K. (2013). Nutrigenetics and nutrigenomics: viewpoints on the current status and applications in nutrition research and practice. Journal of Nutrigenetics and Nutrigenomics, 4(2), 69-89. https://doi.org/10.1159/000368292
10. Fenech, M., El-Sohemy, A., Cahill, L., Ferguson, L. R., French, T. A., Tai, E. S., ... & Sánchez-Moreno, C. (2011). Nutrigenetics and nutrigenomics: viewpoints on the current status and applications in nutrition research and practice. Journal of Nutrigenetics and Nutrigenomics, 4(2), 69-89.
11. Ferguson, L. R., De Caterina, R., Görman, U., Allayee, H., Kohlmeier, M., Prasad, C., ... & Planells, R. (2016). Guide and position of the International Society of Nutrigenetics/Nutrigenomics on personalised nutrition: part 1 - fields of precision nutrition. Journal of Nutrigenetics and Nutrigenomics, 9(1), 12-27.
12. Garcia-Perez, I., Posma, J. M., Gibson, R., Chambers, E. S., Hansen, T. H., Vestergaard, H., ... & Frost, G. (2017). Objective assessment of dietary patterns by use of metabolic phenotyping: a randomised, controlled, crossover trial. The Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology, 5(3), 184-195. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(16)30419-3
13. Gibney, M. J., & Walsh, M. C. (2013). The future direction of personalised nutrition: my diet, my phenotype, my genes. The Proceedings of the Nutrition Society, 72(2), 219-225. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0029665112003436
14. Gibney, M. J., Walsh, M. C., Brennan, L., Roche, H. M., German, B., & van Ommen, B. (2017). Metabolomics in human nutrition: opportunities and challenges. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 104(3), 818–828. https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.117.155424
15. González-Domínguez, R., Sayago, A., & Fernández-Recamales, Á. (2020). Comprehensive characterization of the human serum proteome by one-dimensional SDS-PAGE–nanoESI-MS/MS. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, 412(10), 2351-2361.
16. Görman, U., Mathers, J. C., Grimaldi, K. A., Ahlgren, J., & Nordström, K. (2016). Do we know enough? A scientific and ethical analysis of the basis for genetic-based personalized nutrition. Genes & Nutrition, 11, 9.
17. Guo, L., Rattray, N. J. W., Zhang, L., Zeng, L., Rebholz, C. M., Zheng, L., ... & Zhao, H. (2021). Metabolome-wide association study identifies multiple biomarkers that discriminate north and south Chinese populations at differing risks of cardiovascular disease: INTERMAP study. Circulation, 143(2), 140-156.
18. Hadi, P. and Karim, Z., Metabolic Syndrome and Related Lifestyle Factors Among Group of People in Erbil City.
19. Hadi, P., Health implications of ketogenic diet in the Kurdistan region. Journal of Health Sciences, 6(S10), pp.987-997.
20. Hadi, P., Impact of Nutrition Intervention on Public Health. European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine, 9(07), p.2022.
21. Hadi, P.A.A., Health Promotion and some Risk Factors of Coffee Consumption among Individuals. Turkish Journal of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, 32, p.3.
22. Hadi, P.A.A., The Nutritional Behavior and Physical Activity of Individuals Associated with the Metabolic Syndrome from Reviews. Turkish Journal of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, 32, p.3.
23. Hellstrand, S., Sonestedt, E., & Ericson, U. (2022). Genetic variation in FADS1 and FADS2 and the response to omega-3 fatty acids: a review. Current Opinion in Lipidology, 33(1), 25-31.
24. Hennigar, S. R., Baranowski, T., Gallo, S., Jago, R., & Hilmers, D. C. (2021). A review of the genetics of lactose digestion and lactose intolerance. Advances in Nutrition, 12(4), 1074-1084.
25. Hood, L., & Price, N. D. (2014). Demystifying disease, democratizing health care. Science Translational Medicine, 6(225), 225ed5.
26. Johnson, C. H., Ivanisevic, J., & Siuzdak, G. (2016). Metabolomics: beyond biomarkers and towards mechanisms. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology, 17(7), 451-459.
27. Kaddurah-Daouk, R., & Weinshilboum, R. M. (2014). Pharmacometabolomics: implications for clinical pharmacology and systems pharmacology. Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics, 95(2), 154-167.
28. Karczewski, K. J., & Snyder, M. P. (2018). Integrative omics for health and disease. Nature Reviews Genetics, 19(5), 299-310.
29. Kettunen, J., Tukiainen, T., Sarin, A. P., Ortega-Alonso, A., Tikkanen, E., Lyytikäinen, L. P., ... & O'Reilly, P. F. (2012). Genome-wide association study identifies multiple loci influencing human serum metabolite levels. Nature Genetics, 44(3), 269-276.
30. Krebs, J. D., & Hoddy, K. K. (2020). Personalised nutrition: An overview of the current status, challenges and opportunities. Nutrition Bulletin, 45(4), 371–379. https://doi.org/10.1111/nbu.12454
31. Long, T., Ruan, C., Liu, Y., Cui, J., & Zhang, Y. (2020). Integration of genetic and plasma metabolomic data reveals putative biomarkers and metabolic pathways associated with Alzheimer's disease. Journal of Alzheimer's Disease, 75(4), 1175-1188.
32. Nicholson, J. K., Holmes, E., Kinross, J., Burcelin, R., Gibson, G., Jia, W., & Pettersson, S. (2012). Host-gut microbiota metabolic interactions. Science, 336(6086), 1262-1267.
33. Nicholson, J. K., Lindon, J. C., & Holmes, E. (1999). 'Metabonomics': understanding the metabolic responses of living systems to pathophysiological stimuli via multivariate statistical analysis of biological NMR spectroscopic data. Xenobiotica, 29(11), 1181-1189.
34. Ordovas, J. M., & Ferguson, L. R. (2018). Personalized nutrition and the omics revolution: Embracing opportunities and challenges. Journal of Nutrigenetics and Nutrigenomics, 11(1-2), 1-4. https://doi.org/10.1159/000488669

35. Ordovas, J. M., & Ferguson, L. R. (2018). Personalized Nutrition and Cardiovascular Disease Prevention. In Advances in Nutrition, 9(1), 2-10.
36. Ordovas, J. M., & Ferguson, L. R. (2018). Unlocking the genome for public health: A new frontier in nutrition. British Journal of Nutrition, 119(10), 1077-1079.
37. Pallister, T., Jennings, A., Mohney, R. P., Yaranday Williams, C., Jones, A. V., Mulder, C. L., ... & Spector, T. D. (2016). Characterizing blood metabolomics profiles associated with self-reported food intakes in female twins. PLoS One, 11(6), e0158568.
38. Piwek, L., Ellis, D.A., Andrews, S., & Joinson, A. (2016). The rise of consumer health wearables: Promises and barriers. PLoS Medicine, 13(2), e1001953.
39. Rhee, E. P., Gerszten, R. E., & Wei, R. (2013). Metabolomics and cardiovascular biomarker discovery. Clinical Chemistry, 59(1), 155-166.
40. Rieder, M. J., Furlong, C. E., & Nickerson, D. A. (2021). The MTHFR gene and folate metabolism: implications for dietary recommendations. Annual Review of Nutrition, 41, 273-297.
41. Scalbert, A., Brennan, L., Fiehn, O., Hankemeier, T., Kristal, B. S., van Ommen, B., ... & Wopereis, S. (2014). Mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: Limitations and recommendations for future progress with particular focus on nutrition research. Metabolomics, 10(6), 435-458. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-014-0697-8
42. Shao, Y., Zhu, B., Zheng, R., Zhao, X., Yin, P., Lu, X., ... & Xu, G. (2018). Development of urinary pseudotargeted LC-MS-based metabolomics method and its application in hepatocellular carcinoma biomarker discovery. Journal of Proteome Research, 18(1), 164-173.
43. Shin, S. Y., Fauman, E. B., Petersen, A. K., Krumsiek, J., Santos, R., Huang, J., ... & Suhre, K. (2014). An atlas of genetic influences on human blood metabolites. Nature Genetics, 46(6), 543-550.
44. Sonnenburg, E.D., & Sonnenburg, J.L. (2014). Starving our microbial self: The deleterious consequences of a diet deficient in microbiota-accessible carbohydrates. Cell Metabolism, 20(5), 779-786.
45. Stewart-Knox, B., Bunting, B. P., Gilpin, S., Parr, H. J., Pinhão, S., Strain, J. J., ... & Gibney, M. J. (2009). Attitudes toward genetic testing and personalised nutrition in a representative sample of European consumers. British Journal of Nutrition, 101(7), 982-989.
46. Trupp, M., Zhu, H., Wikoff, W. R., Baillie, R. A., Zeng, Z. B., Karp, P. D., ... & Siuzdak, G. (2012). Metabolomics reveals amino acids contribute to variation in response to simvastatin treatment. PLoS One, 7(7), e38386.
47. Weng, S. L., Huang, K. Y., Kaushik, A. C., Hsieh, Y. H., Wang, K., Huang, H. Y., ... & Yang, S. F. (2021). Integrating genomics and metabolomics for scalable personalized medicine. Nature Communications, 12(1), 1-11.
48. Wishart, D. S. (2019). Emerging applications of metabolomics in drug discovery and precision medicine. Nature Reviews Drug Discovery, 18(7), 549-566.
49. Wishart, D. S. (2019). Emerging applications of metabolomics in drug discovery and precision medicine. Nature Reviews Drug Discovery, 18(7), 549-566.
50. Zeevi, D., Korem, T., Zmora, N., Israeli, D., Rothschild, D., Weinberger, A., ... & Suez, J. (2015). Personalized nutrition by prediction of glycemic responses. Cell, 163(5), 1079-1094. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.11.001
51. Zeevi, D., Korem, T., Zmora, N., Israeli, D., Rothschild, D., Weinberger, A., ... & Segal, E. (2015). Personalized nutrition by prediction of glycemic responses. Cell, 163(5), 1079-1094.
52. Zhang, A., Sun, H., & Wang, X. (2012). Potentials of single-cell biology in identification and validation of disease biomarkers. Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, 16(9), 1962-1967.